Standardised Scores Mark Scheme

Q1.

Question	Answer	Additional guidance	Mark
(a)	M1 Adila: $\frac{60-75}{10}$ Brandon: $\frac{60-65}{4}$ A1A1 = -1.5 = -1.25	M1 for attempt at standardised scores for both (condone ±(x - mean)/sd for M1) A1 for each correct answer. (Accept equivalents)	(3)
(b)	B2 Adila had the best journey due to the lower standardised score	B2 for a complete conclusion in context making reference to standardised score values. Accept converse about B. (Otherwise B1 for correct comparison of standardised scores without conclusion) If B0 scored allow B1 for 'both quicker than normal'	(2)
(c)	B1 e.g. the journeys have different distributions / means / standard deviations	B1 for recognising that values are from different distributions making standardised scores appropriate	(1)

Question number	Answer	Additional guidance	Mark
(a)	M1A1A1 for calculating standardised scores M1 Long jump: $\frac{6.43-6.10}{0.26}$, High jump: $\frac{1.86-1.79}{0.066}$	M1 for either correct calculation	(5)
	A1 Long jump: 1.3	A1 for awrt 1.3	
	A1 High jump: 1.1	A1 for awrt 1.1	
	B2 for e.g. Better performance in long jump (relative to their competitors) as there is a higher standardised score in long jump	B2 for a correct contextual interpretation of results with a correct reason using standardised scores	
	OR (if B2 not scored)	OR (if B2 not scored)	
	B1 for e.g. Better performance in long jump (relative to their competitors) with an attempt at a reason	B1 for a correct contextual interpretation of results with an attempt at a reason	
(b)	M1 $-0.32 = \frac{42.51 - x}{5.85}$ A1 $(x =) 44.382$	M1 for demonstrating correct use of formula. A1 for awrt 44.38	(2)

Q3.

Question number	Answer	Additional guidance	Mark
(a)	M1 $\frac{68-55}{8}$ or $55+8\times1.5$ A1 1.625 or 67 depB1f.t. e.g. 'Mithra will get an interview'	M1 for standardising or for attempting to find minimum score required A1 for awrt 1.6 or 67 depB1ft reasoning statistically to form correct conclusion or correct ft conclusion (dep on M1 being scored)	(3)
(b)	B2 Alexi performed worse on the test since Alexi's (standardised) score was lower (o.e.)	B2 for Alexi performed worse since – 1.25 < -1 or with 45 and 47 seen (B1 for Alexi performed worse with incomplete reasoning e.g. since Alexi's score is further away from 0/mean')	(2)

Q4.

Question	Answer	Additional guidance	Mark
	M1 Test A: $\frac{16.3 - 14.4}{1.5}$, Test B: $\frac{21.6 - 19.8}{2.4}$ A1 Test A: 1.26(6) A1 Test B: 0.75	M1 for either correct calculation A1 for 1.26-1.27 A1 for 0.75	(5)
	B1 Better/faster performance in Test B, relative to the other students, with a reason	B1 for contextual interpretation of results. B0 if no reason.	
	B1 Lower standardised score in Test B, oe	B1 for statistical reasoning, using standardised scores, to support conclusion.	

Q5.

	5ST1H_01 Scheme	Marks
(a	Mean = 55	B1
	(85-'55')/3 or (85-25)/6	M1
	= 10	A1
(b	60 64	(3)
(-	(Test 1) $\frac{60-55'}{10'} = 0.5$ (Test 2) $\frac{60-64}{12} = -0.3333$	M1A1ftA1
	10 12	В1
	Performed better on Test 1	dB1
	as standardised score is higher	(5
		[8
	Notes	
	B1 allow anything [53,57] M1 for finding half the range [27, 33] and using 3sd or finding the range [54, 66] and using 6sd A1 for [9,11] $ M1 \frac{60-55'}{10'} \text{or} \frac{60-64}{12} $	
	A1ft for Test 1 correct to 1dp or better using their values from (a)	
	A1 for -0.3 or better	
	1 st B1 performed better on Test 1	
	2 nd B1 dependent on first B1 for Test 1 score is higher OR	
	Test 1 score is positive and Test 2 score is negative OR Test 1 is above mean and Test 2 is below mean (condone average)	

Question	Scheme	Marks
(a)	14.1-14.5	M1
	0.6 = -0.66(6)	A1 (2)
(b)	The gymnast did better on the balance beam, since the standardised score is higher.	B1ft B1ft
(c)	$\frac{15.3 - 14.5}{0.6} = (1.333)$	M1 (2)
	Normal distribution has 95% of data within ±2 standard deviations.	M1
	Since no data is more than 1.3 standard deviations above the mean, it would <u>not</u> be <u>suitable</u> to use a normal distribution to model these data.	A1 (3)
		[7]
	Notes	
(a)	M1 for using $\frac{\pm (X - \mu)}{\sigma}$	
	A1 for awrt -0.7 allow $-2/3$ or -0.6 for A1	
(b)	1^{st} B1 for better on the balance beam 2^{nd} B1 for standardised score on balance beam is higher or standardised score is positive for the balance beam and negative for the vault or scored above mean on balance beam and below mean on vault If their (a) ≥ 0.5 , then ft vault for both B1 marks.	
(c)	1 st M1 for calculating the standardised score for 15.3 or calculating 14.5 ± 2 × 0.6 (=15.7) 2 nd M1 for use of 95% within ±2 standard deviations of mean/virtually all data within ±3 standard deviations of mean 3 rd A1 dependent upon both M marks for correct conclusion, it is <u>not suitable</u> , with correct figures.	

	Notes		
(a)	$\frac{63-53}{8}$ (=1.25)	B1	(1)
(b)	$\frac{78-69}{10}$ OR 1.25 ×10 + 69	M1	
	= 0.9 = 81.5	A1	
	Kirstin did better in Maths (o.e.), with a correct reason e.g. 1.25 > 0.9 OR 'her (standardised) score was higher'	A1ft	(3)
(c)	$\frac{x-48}{6} = -0.5$ o.e. (e.g. $x = 48 - 3$) = 45	M1	
	= 45	A1	(2)
	Notes		[6]
(a)	Answer given on paper. Mark is for complete working with 63, 53 and 8 Allow e.g. $1.25 \times 8 + 53 = 63$ Working may be done in stages.		
(b)	M1 for correct method for standardised score (may be in stages) 1st A1 for 0.9 OR 81.5		
	2 nd A1 for 'better in Maths' (accept 'worse in Physics') WITH correct comparison (in words or figures).		
	OR (if standardised score >1.25 through arithmetic slip) 2 nd A1ft for 'better in Physics' (accept 'worse in Maths') WITH correct		
	OR (if standardised score >1.25 through arithmetic slip)		
	OR (if standardised score >1.25 through arithmetic slip) 2 nd A1ft for 'better in Physics' (accept 'worse in Maths') WITH correct		